

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAURICE JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
OFFICE, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-2412 CSK P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed September 12, 2024, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement or pay the Court's filing fee and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the Court's order and has not filed the required documents.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
2 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that  
3 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
4 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5  
6 Dated: November 1, 2024

7   
8 CHI SOO KIM  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 /1/john2412.fifp  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28